

Type System for a Polymorphic Multi-Stage Programming Language

Atsushi Igarashi
(Kyoto Univ.)

Joint work with Megumi Kobayashi

MetaOCaml

[Calcagno, Taha, Huang, Leroy; GPCE03]

An extension of OCaml with features for multi-stage programming (MSP)

- (Hygienic) quasi-quotation
- Eval (a.k.a. run)
- Cross-stage persistence
- Strong type system (for a pure fragment)

Type-safe quasiquotation and eval

```
# let c1 = .< 3 * 3 >.;;  
val c1 : int code = .< 3 * 3 >.  
# let f c = .< float_of_int ~c >.;;  
val f : int code → float code = <fun>  
# let c2 = f c1;;  
val c2 : float code =  
      .< float_of_int (3 * 3)>.  
# let x = !. c2;;  
val x : float = 9.0
```

I will omit dots and
use "eval" for !.

Cross-Stage Persistence (CSP)

- A value created outside of quotations can be referenced inside (namely, at a later stage)
 - CSP is limited for variable references in MetaOCaml

```
# let f c = < float_of_int . ~c >.;;  
val f : int code → float code = <fun>
```

```
# let r = ref 2;;  
# let c = <fun x → r := !r + x> in  
  (eval c) 4; !r;;  
val - : int = 6
```

Specializing the Power function

```
# let rec pow' n c =  
  if n = 0 then <1>  
  else < ~c * ~(pow' (n-1) c) >;;
```

```
# let pow n =  
  < fun x → ~(pow' n <x>) >;;
```

```
# let pow3 = pow 3;;  
val - : (int → int) code  
  = < fun x → x * x * x * 1 >  
# (eval pow3) 5;;  
val - : int = 125
```

Specializing a polymorphic function

```
# let rec iter' n f x =  
  if n = 1 then <~f ~x>  
  else < ~f ~x; ~(iter' (n-1) f x)>;;  
val iter' :
```

int → (*α* → *unit*) *code* → *α* *code* → *unit* *code*

```
# let iter n =  
  < fun f x → ~(iter' n <f> <x>) >;;  
val iter :
```

int → ((*α* → *unit*) → *α* → *unit*) *code*

Polymorphism is lost by specialization

```
# let twice = iter 2;;  
val twice : ( $\alpha$  → unit) →  $\alpha$  → unit code  
= <fun f x → f x; f x>
```

- Due to value restriction, polymorphism is lost
- α can be instantiated only once

Polymorphism can be recovered

- By making RHS a syntactic value (i.e., quotation)

```
# let twice' =  
  < fun f x → ~(iter 2) f x >;;  
val twice' : (( $\alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$ ) →  $\alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$ ) code  
= <fun f0 x0 →  
  (fun f x → f x; f x) f0 x0>
```

```
# let twice'' =  
  < fun f x → ~(iter' 2 <f> <x>) >;;  
val twice'' : (( $\alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$ ) →  $\alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$ ) code  
= <fun f x → f x; f x>
```


Value Restriction

- $e1$ in `let x = e1 in e2` can be given a polymorphic type, only when $e1$ is a *syntactic value* (e.g., variable, `fun`, quotation of `fun`)
 - In OCaml (and MetaOCaml) “relaxed” value restriction [Garrigue] is used
- However, in MetaOCaml, a syntactic value can involve computation as in `twice'`
- Is this really safe?

No, not really... [Shan&Kiselyov]

- By using cross-stage persistence (CSP), this “naive” value restriction can be shown to be unsound!
- True value restriction rejects the counter example (and probably sound)
 - But would make many useful examples monomorphic
 - No way to specialize polymorphic functions?

Counterexample by Shan & Kiselyov

```
# let c =  
  <let f = fun () →  
    ~(let r = ref [] in <r>) in  
    f() := [1];  
    "foo" :: !(f()) >  
val c : string list code = ...  
# eval c;;
```

- f is given a polymorphic type $\text{unit} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list}$
 - RHS is a function "value", even though it involves allocation of a reference to an empty list

Our Work

Type system for MiniML^{>%}

- MetaOCaml-like calculus $\lambda^{>%}$ [Hanada&I.'14]
 - + let-polymorphism
 - + references

(N.B. The so-called “scope extrusion problem” is not addressed)

Our Approach

- Based on imperative type variables [Tofte]
 - To prevent “polymorphic references” from being allocated
- Enhancement to take staging into account

The Rest of The Talk

- Review of Tofte's type discipline
- Applying Tofte's to MetaOCaml
- Staged imperative type variables

Problem of naive let-polymorphism

- Unsound in the presence of imperative features

```
# let r = ref [];;  
val r :  $\alpha$  list ref  
# r := [1];; (* use as int list ref *)  
val - : unit = ()  
# "foo" :: !r;;  
???          (* use as string list ref *)
```

Tofte's idea

- Allocation of a reference involving implicitly bound type variables leads to unsoundness

```
let r =  $\Lambda\alpha$ .ref ([] :  $\alpha$  list) in ...
```

- If RHS is a value, type variables are instantiated by the time refs are allocated

```
let r =  $\Lambda\alpha$ .fun ()  $\rightarrow$  ref ([]: $\alpha$  list) in  
r() := [1]; "foo" :: r();
```

→ When RHS is not a value, don't abstract type variables that occurs under `ref`

Distinguishing applicative and imperative type variables

- Applicative type variables
 - cannot appear under `ref`
 - can be bound/abstracted at any `let`
- Imperative type variables
 - can appear under `ref`
 - can be bound/abstracted only at `let` with a value as RHS
 - can be instantiated only by types w/o applicative
- ★ Value restriction = no applicative type vars

Examples revisited

```
# let r =  $\Lambda\alpha::\text{app}$ .ref ([]: $\alpha$  list) in  
  r := [1]; "foo" :: !r
```

- Ill typed, because applicative var. appears under ref

```
# let r =  $\Lambda\alpha::\text{imp}$ .ref ([]: $\alpha$  list) in  
  r := [1]; "foo" :: !r
```

- Ill-typed, because RHS is not a value

The Rest of The Talk

- Review of Tofte's type discipline
- Applying Tofte's to MetaOCaml
- Staged imperative type variables

Applying Tofte to MetaOCaml:

Specialization of polymorphic code

- If no reference types are involved, all lets can be safely polymorphic

```
# let twice =  $\Lambda\alpha::\text{app}$ . iter 2;;  
val twice : ( $\alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$ )  $\rightarrow$   $\alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$  code  
= <fun f x  $\rightarrow$  f x; f x>  
# let twice'' =  $\Lambda\alpha::\text{app}$ .  
  < fun f x  $\rightarrow$  ~ (iter' 2 <f> <x>) >;;  
val twice'' : ( $\alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$ )  $\rightarrow$   $\alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}$  code  
= <fun f x  $\rightarrow$  f x; f x>
```

Applying Tofte to MetaOCaml: Rejecting the Counterexample

```
# let c =  
  <let f =  $\Lambda\alpha::\text{imp}$ .fun ()  $\rightarrow$   
    ~ (let r = ref ([]: $\alpha$  list)  
      in <r>) in  
    f() := [1];  
    "foo" :: !(f()) >.
```

- Rejected under true value restriction
 - RHS of `let f =` is an abstraction but *not a value!*

Slight Variant

```
# let c =  
  <let f =  
     $\Lambda \alpha :: \text{imp} . \text{fun} () \rightarrow \text{ref } ([] : \alpha \text{ list}) \text{ in}$   
    f() := [1];  
    "foo" :: !(f()) >;;
```

- Accepted because RHS is now a proper value (abstraction *w/o unquote*) and imperative α can be abstracted

How About This One?

```
# let twice'n'return =  $\Lambda \alpha :: ???.$   
  <fun f x  $\rightarrow$  ~(iter' 2 <f> <x>) ; !x>;;  
val twice'n'return :  
  ( ( $\alpha$  ref  $\rightarrow$  unit)  $\rightarrow$   $\alpha$  ref  $\rightarrow$   $\alpha$  ) code  
= <fun f x  $\rightarrow$  f x; f x; !x>
```

Unfortunately, it is rejected:

- α cannot be app, because it appears under ref
- α cannot be imp, because the RHS isn't a value

The Rest of The Talk

- Review of Tofte's type discipline
- Applying Tofte's to MetaOCaml
- Staged imperative type variables

Observations

```
# let twice'n'return =  
  <fun f x → ~(iter' 2 <f> <x>) ; !x>;;
```

Should be safely used polymorphically
because code generation is pure

- It seems safe to use α under `ref` as long as it is inside quotation

Staged Imperative Type Variables

- Imperative type var at stage 1 (**imp1**)
 - Cannot appear under `ref` outside quotation or code type
 - Can be bound/abstracted at stage-1 func def *and any stage-0 let*
 - Demoted to **imp0** if code is evaluated
- Imperative type var at stage 0 (**imp0**)
 - Can be bound/abstracted at stage-0 value def
- Applicative type var (**app**)
 - Can be bound/abstracted at any `let` (but cannot appear under `ref`)

twice 'n' return revisited

```
# let twice'n'return =  $\Lambda \alpha :: \text{imp1}.$   
  <fun f (x: $\alpha$  ref)  $\rightarrow$   
    ~ (iter' 2 <f> <x>) ; !x>;;  
val twice'n'return :  
  (( $\alpha$  ref  $\rightarrow$  unit)  $\rightarrow$   $\alpha$  ref  $\rightarrow$   $\alpha$ ) code  
= <fun f x  $\rightarrow$  f x; f x; !x>
```

- α appears under ref but it's inside quotation

Counterexample revisited

- α cannot be `imp1`, because it is used outside quotation (that is, in the type of `r`)

```
# let c =  
  <let f =  $\Lambda\alpha::\text{imp1}.$ fun ()  $\rightarrow$   
    ~ (let r = ref [] in <r>) in  
    f() := [1];  
    "foo" :: !(f()) >
```

Flavor of Formal Bits (1/3)

- $\text{MiniML}^{>\%}$
 - based on $\lambda^{>\%}$ [Hanada&I.'14]
 - Classifiers to represent *how thick a quotation is*
 - Quotation indexed by classifiers: $\langle \gamma M \rangle$
 - CSP for any terms $\% \gamma M$
 - Classifier abstraction: $\Lambda \gamma. M$
 - Classifier application: $M (\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n)$
 - Eval as derived form: $(\Lambda \gamma. \langle \gamma M \rangle) \varepsilon \rightarrow M$
 - Type/classifier abstraction restricted at let
 - References

Empty sequence
(thickness is zero)

Flavor of Formal Bits (2/3)

- Imperative type vars are classified (kinded) by a set of classifiers
 - $\alpha :: \text{imp}\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ means α gets instantiated by the time $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are instantiated by ε
- Judgments:
 - $\Gamma \vdash M : T @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n$ *M has type T at stage $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n$*
 - $\Gamma \vdash T :: \text{imp}\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ *T ref can be used at stage containing only γ_i*
 - $\alpha :: \text{app} \not\vdash \alpha \text{ list} :: \text{imp}\{\varepsilon\}$
 - $\alpha :: \text{imp}\{\varepsilon\} \vdash \alpha \text{ list} :: \text{imp}\{\gamma\}$
 - $\alpha :: \text{imp}\{\gamma\} \not\vdash \alpha \text{ list} :: \text{imp}\{\varepsilon\}$

Flavor of Formal Bits (3/3)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : T @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n \quad \Gamma \vdash T :: \text{imp}\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ref } M : T \text{ ref } @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, \gamma, \alpha :: K \vdash \lambda y. M : T' @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n \\ \Gamma, x : \forall \gamma. \forall \alpha :: K. T' \vdash N : T @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n \\ K = \text{app or imp}\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \end{array}$$

imp*i* can be abstracted
at stage-*i* fun def

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = \Lambda \gamma. \Lambda \alpha :: K. \lambda y. M \text{ in } N : T @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, \gamma, \alpha :: K \vdash M : T' @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n \\ \Gamma, x : \forall \gamma. \forall \alpha :: K. T' \vdash N : T @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n \\ K = \text{app or imp}\{\gamma, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \end{array}$$

imp1 can be abstracted
at any stage-0 let

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = \Lambda \gamma. \Lambda \alpha :: K. M \text{ in } N : T @ \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n$$

Technical Results So Far

- Operational semantics
 - Scope extrusion raises a run-time exception, which this type system doesn't care about
- Type system
- Soundness proof

Summary

- Naive value restriction in MetaOCaml is unsafe
- Tofte's type discipline for MSP can be adapted
 - Staged imperative type variables
 - c.f. Weak polymorphism in SML/NJ

Future work:

- Type inference
- Investigation of naive value restriction for a sublanguage where the use of CSP is restricted
- Scope extrusion problem