

A lexically scoped type system for multi-stage languages

Morten Rhiger

Roskilde University, Denmark

IFIP WG 2.11 meeting, June 25, 2012

This talk: $\lambda^{[]}$

A type system for multi-stage calculus, which

- is hygienic,
- evaluates under λ s,
- supports `run`,
- supports mutable state, and
- defines one new type of code, with one introduction rule and one elimination rule.

Approach

- A context-aware code type.
(Records where code values are built.)
- Lexically scoped terms and types.
(Controls where code values can be used.)

Outline

- Monomorphic $\lambda^{\square}$
- Subtyping
- Polymorphism
- Standard examples and pitfalls

Syntax of $\lambda^{[]}$

$e ::= b \mid x \mid \lambda x:t. e \mid e e \mid \underbrace{\uparrow e \mid \downarrow e}_{\text{quasiquote\&unquote or bracket\&escape}}$

$t ::= B \mid t \rightarrow t \mid [t]t \mid \underbrace{x \mid t, t \mid \emptyset}_{\text{Sets of variables (a distinguished kind)}}$

The code type of $\lambda^{[]}$

$[x_1, \dots, x_n] \ t$ — type of code fragment

variables allowed free in code fragment

The code type of $\lambda^{[]}$

An intuition

From Contextual Modal Types

$$[x_1 : t_1, \dots, x_n : t_n]t$$

Kim et al (2005), Rhiger (2005), Nanevski et al (2008)

to lexically scoped modal types:

$$[x_1 : t_1, \dots, x_n : t_n]t$$

The code type of $\lambda^{\square}$

An intuition

From Contextual Modal Types

$$[x_1 : t_1, \dots, x_n : t_n]t$$

Kim et al (2005), Rhiger (2005), Nanevski et al (2008)

to lexically scoped modal types:

$$\lambda x_1 : t_1. \dots \lambda x_n : t_n.$$

$$\vdots$$

$$[x_1 : t_1, \dots, x_n : t_n]t$$

The code type of $\lambda^{\square}$

An intuition

From Contextual Modal Types

$$[x_1 : t_1, \dots, x_n : t_n]t$$

Kim et al (2005), Rhiger (2005), Nanevski et al (2008)

to lexically scoped modal types:

$$\lambda x_1 : t_1. \dots \lambda x_n : t_n.$$

$$\vdots$$

$$[x_1 \quad , \dots , x_n \quad]t$$

The code type of $\lambda^{[]}$

Another intuition (yet unexplored)

$[x_1, \dots, x_n]t$


A classifier?

Taha&Nielsen (2003)

Hygiene = Lexical scope

when evaluating under λs

Hygiene enforces abstractions:

let $f(c) = \uparrow(\lambda x. \downarrow c)$

in $\uparrow(\lambda x. \downarrow(f(\uparrow x))) \quad \mapsto \quad \uparrow(\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. x_1)$

Enforcing lexical scope

- In semantics (well known):

Variable convention (Barendregt): α -convert (i.e., consistently rename) when necessary, to make bound and free variable differ.

- In type systems ($\lambda^{\square}$):

Ditto

Contexts of $\lambda^{[]}$

Several namespaces, one for each stage:

$$\underbrace{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}}_{\text{past stages}}, \underbrace{\gamma_n}_{\text{present stage}}, \underbrace{\gamma_{n+1}, \dots, \gamma_m}_{\text{future stages}} \vdash \dots$$

$\gamma \in \text{term-variables} \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} \text{types}$

$\Gamma ::= \gamma, \dots, \gamma$

Typing $\lambda^{[]}$

$$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e : t}$$

$$\frac{\gamma(x) = t}{\Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash x : t} \quad (\text{Var})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t' :: * \quad \Gamma, \gamma + (x : t') ; \Gamma' \vdash e : t}{\Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash \lambda x : t'. e : t' \rightarrow t} \quad (\rightarrow \text{I})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e_1 : t_2 \rightarrow t \quad \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e_2 : t_2}{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e_1 e_2 : t} \quad (\rightarrow \text{E})$$

$\vdots$

Typing $\lambda^{[]}$

$$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e : t}$$

$\vdots$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e : t}{\Gamma ; \gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \uparrow e : [\bar{\gamma}]t} \quad ([\] \text{ I})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma ; \gamma, \Gamma' \vdash e : [\bar{\gamma}]t}{\Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash \downarrow e : t} \quad ([\] \text{ E})$$

where $\bar{\gamma} = \text{dom}(\gamma)$, as a type.

Enforcing lexical scope

Don't throw away $x : t$ here

$\uparrow(\lambda x:t. \downarrow(\cdots \uparrow x \cdots))$

...because we
need it again here.

Kinding $\lambda^{[]}$

$$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t :: \kappa}$$

Kinding guarantees
that types are

{ lexically scoped,
correctly staged, and
well formed.

Kinding $\lambda^{[]}$

$$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t :: \kappa}$$

Kinding guarantees that types are

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{lexically scoped,} \\ \text{correctly staged, and} \\ \text{well formed.} \end{array} \right.$

$$\kappa ::= * \mid \text{env}$$

Kinding $\lambda^{[]}$

$$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t :: \kappa}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t_1 :: * \quad \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t_2 :: *}{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t_1 \rightarrow t_2 :: *}$$

(K $\rightarrow$)

$\vdots$

Kinding $\lambda^{[]}$

$$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t :: \kappa}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{x \in \text{dom}(\gamma)}{\Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash x :: \text{env}} \quad (\text{K-Var})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t_1 :: \text{env} \quad \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t_2 :: \text{env}}{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t_1, t_2 :: \text{env}} \quad (\text{K-Union})$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash \emptyset :: \text{env}} \quad (\text{K } \emptyset)$$

$$\vdots$$

Kinding $\lambda^{[]}$

$$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t :: \kappa}$$

$\vdots$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t_1 :: \text{env} \quad \Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t_2 :: *}{\Gamma ; \gamma, \Gamma' \vdash [t_1]t_2 :: *} \quad (\text{K } [])$$

Examples: Scope extrusion

Avoiding scope extrusion (1)

```
let c : []int ref = ref (↑2)
in  ↑(λx:int. ... ↓(c := ↑x) ...)
```

is correctly rejected, since

```
  c : []int ref
↑x : [x]int
```

Avoiding scope extrusion (2)

```
let c : [x]int ref = ref (↑2)
in  ↑(λx:int. ... ↓(c := ↑x) ...)
```

is correctly rejected, since
the first **x** is unbound.

Avoiding scope extrusion (3)

$\uparrow(\lambda x:\text{int}.$
 $\downarrow(\text{let } c : [\textcolor{red}{x}]\text{int ref} = \text{ref } (\uparrow 2)$
 in $\uparrow(\lambda x:\text{int}.$... $\downarrow(c := \uparrow \textcolor{blue}{x})$...))

is correctly rejected, since

$c : [\textcolor{red}{x}]\text{int ref}$
 $\uparrow \textcolor{blue}{x} : [\textcolor{blue}{x}]\text{int}$

and $\textcolor{red}{x}$ and $\textcolor{blue}{x}$ are different variables.

Subtyping

Subtyping relation

$\vdots$

$$t, t' \leq t$$

$$\frac{t_2 \leq t_1 \quad t'_1 \leq t'_2}{[t_1]t'_1 \leq [t_2]t'_2}$$

($[t]t'$ is contravariant in t .)

Subsumption

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Gamma' \vdash e : t_2 \quad t_2 \leq t_1}{\Gamma; \Gamma' \vdash e : t_1} \quad (t\text{-}\leq)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_2; \Gamma'_2 \vdash e : t \quad \Gamma_1; \Gamma'_1 \leq \Gamma_2; \Gamma'_2}{\Gamma_1; \Gamma'_1 \vdash e : t} \quad (\Gamma\text{-}\leq)$$

Examples: Subtyping

Subtyping example (1)

To type

```
let c : []int = ↑1 in ↑(λx:bool. ↓c)
```

coerce ↓c from []int to [x]int.

Subtyping example (2)

To type

$\uparrow(\lambda x:\text{bool}. \downarrow(\dots \text{run}(\uparrow 2) \dots))$

remove the binding

$x:\text{bool}$

from the context at $\uparrow 2$.

Passing open code across a lambda

```
↑(λx:int.  
  ↓(let c : [x]int = ref (↑1)  
    in  ↑(λy:bool.  
          ... ↓( c := ↑x; ... ))))
```

is accepted (and is safe).

Polymorphism

Polymorphic extension

$$e ::= \dots \mid \Lambda T :: \kappa. e \mid e[t]$$

$$t ::= \dots \mid T \mid \forall T :: \kappa. t$$

Extending contexts

One namespace of type variables:

$$\Delta \mid \underbrace{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}}_{\text{past stages}}, \underbrace{\gamma_n}_{\text{present stage}} ; \underbrace{\gamma_{n+1}, \dots, \gamma_m}_{\text{future stages}} \vdash \dots$$

type variables present stage

$$\Delta \in \text{type-variables} \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} \text{kinds}$$

Extending kinding

$$\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t :: \kappa$$

$\vdots$

$$\frac{\Delta(T) = \kappa}{\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash T :: \kappa} \quad (\text{K-Tvar})$$

$$\frac{\Delta + (T :: \kappa) \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t :: *}{\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash \forall T :: \kappa. t :: *} \quad (\text{K } \forall)$$

Extending typing

$$\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e : t$$

⋮

$$\frac{\Delta + (T :: \kappa) \mid \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e : t}{\Delta \mid \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash \Lambda T :: \kappa. e : \forall T :: \kappa. t} \quad (\forall I)$$

└ at stage 0 only (in this talk)

$$\frac{\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e : \forall T' :: \kappa. t \quad \Delta \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash t :: \kappa}{\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e[t'] : t\{t'/T'\}} \quad (\forall E)$$

⋮

Extending typing

$$\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e : t$$

$\vdots$

$$\frac{\Delta \mid \Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash e : t}{\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \uparrow e : [\bar{\gamma}, \Delta_{\text{env}}]t} \quad ([\] \text{ I})$$

$$\frac{\Delta \mid \Gamma ; \gamma, \Gamma' \vdash e : [\bar{\gamma}, \Delta_{\text{env}}]t}{\Delta \mid \Gamma, \gamma ; \Gamma' \vdash \downarrow e : t} \quad ([\] \text{ E})$$

where $\Delta_{\text{env}} = \{ T \mid \Delta(T) = \text{env} \}$, as a type.

Type variables of kind env

- $(R :: \text{env})$ is a “placeholder” for a set of term variables:

$$\forall R :: \text{env}. [x, y, R]t \xrightarrow{\text{instantiate}} [x, y, a, b]t$$

- Any $(R :: \text{env})$ in scope must go into a code type:

$$\lambda R :: \text{env}.$$

$$\uparrow(\quad)$$

└────────── type $[R]t'$

Type variables of kind env

- $(R :: \text{env})$ is a “placeholder” for a set of term variables:

$$\forall R :: \text{env}. [x, y, R]t \xrightarrow{\text{instantiate}} [x, y, a, b]t$$

- Any $(R :: \text{env})$ in scope must go into a code type:

$\lambda R :: \text{env}.$

$\lambda x : [R]t.$

$\uparrow(\quad)$

$\text{type } [R]t'$

Type variables of kind env

- $(R :: \text{env})$ is a “placeholder” for a set of term variables:

$$\forall R :: \text{env}. [x, y, R]t \xrightarrow{\text{instantiate}} [x, y, a, b]t$$

- Any $(R :: \text{env})$ in scope must go into a code type:

$\lambda R :: \text{env}.$

$\lambda x : [R]t.$

$\uparrow(\cdots \downarrow x \cdots)$

$\text{type } [R]t'$

Example: Polymorphism

Staged eta expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eta} = & \Lambda T :: *. \Lambda U :: *. \Lambda R :: \text{env}. \\ & \lambda f : \forall S :: \text{env}. [R, S]T \rightarrow [R, S]U. \\ & \uparrow(\lambda x : T. \\ & \quad \downarrow(f [x] (\uparrow x))) \\ \text{eta} : & \forall T :: *. \forall U :: *. \forall R :: \text{env}. \\ & (\forall S :: \text{env}. [R, S]T \rightarrow [R, S]U) \rightarrow \\ & [R](T \rightarrow U) \end{aligned}$$

Using the staged eta expansion

```
powbta n [S::env] (x:[S]int) =  
  if n=0 then  
    ↑1  
  else  
    ↑(↓x * ↓(f (n-1) [S] x))
```

```
powgen n = eta [int] [int] [0] (powbta n)
```

Conclusions

$\lambda^{\square}$ demonstrates that

- α -equivalence **is compatible** with context-aware code types (see Taha&Nielsen, POPL'03),
- code types **are lightweight**,
- there is **no need for additional technologies** to handle side effects (see $\lambda_1^{\odot}$) or run,
- there is **no need to restrict evaluation under dynamic λ s**, e.g. by preventing it, or by replacing it by substitution (see $\lambda^{\square}$, CMT),
- standard type-system machinery (environments, subtyping, polymorphism) are sufficient.

Conclusions

$\lambda^{\square}$ demonstrates that

- α -equivalence **is compatible** with context-aware code types (see Taha&Nielsen, POPL'03),
- code types **are lightweight**,
- there is **no need for additional technologies** to handle side effects (see $\lambda_1^{\otimes}$) or `run`,
- there is **no need to restrict evaluation under dynamic λ s**, e.g. by preventing it, or by replacing it by substitution (see $\lambda^{\square}$, CMT),
- standard type-system machinery (environments, subtyping, polymorphism) are sufficient.

Thanks